




FOUR NATIONS CHESS LEAGUE

Division 3 Round 6 report by Matthew Lunn (KJCA Kings)

AMCA Dragons vs Cambridge University 2 (3.5-2.5)

The bottom division of 4ncl is regularly a close-knit affair, with the promotion race normally only coming into its own during the final long weekend. It is almost unprecedented for a team to be on 6/6 at this stage – but AMCA Dragons managed it following their victory over Cambridge University 2. However, it was by no means a whitewash, with the five drawn games throwing up little in the way of winning chances for either side. FM Webb offered a draw after 14 moves against his lower rated opponent, whilst the match on two was peacefully concluded at move 18 - the nature of the pawn structure making it hard for either side to do anything particularly constructive. Board's 3 and 6 were very even affairs, although I would recommend having a look at the opening played on board 6. The extended Fianchetto 3.b4! will come as a surprise to many KID players, it is becoming increasingly popular and can produce some very interesting positions. The middlegame that arose from Lee – Morris-Hill also merits a glance, for it is really quite deceptive! Visually black's phalanx of pawns is extremely impressive, but the more you look at it the more it becomes apparent that it yields black little to no advantage. But it is Ian Duvall who deserves greatest credit in this match, producing a pretty middlegame combination to secure victory for the Dragons.



Duvall has just played the excellent move 23.Nb6! Black is resigned to play the unfortunate 23.Ra7, where white yields a small advantage. However, Campbell played 23.Rab8, which allowed Duvall to force the win of a couple of pawns. Play continued 24.Nd7 Ra8 25.Rxc6+! After 25.bxc6 26.Nxe5+ Kf8 27.Nxc6 Rd6 28.Nxe7! Kxe7 29.Bb4 white is winning the exchange back and retains the two pawns he won earlier. Kudos to Ian for such an aesthetically pleasing victory!

RD 6		AMCA DRAGONS	2107	v	CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY 2	2020
1	w	Webb, Richard M	2266	½ - ½	Cooley, Oliver	2128
2	b	Norman, Kenneth I	2168	½ - ½	Beckett, Chris J	2105
3	w	Purdon, Colin	2097	½ - ½	Gooding, Ian	2009
4	b	Morris-Hill, Ray	2063	½ - ½	Lee, Richard	1985
5	w	Duvall, Ian	2047	1 - 0	Campbell, Mark	1984
6	b	Sparkes, Daniel A	2000	½ - ½	Lim, Mark	1908
				3½-2½		

Guildford A&DC4 vs Metropolitan (2.5-3.5)

It is often very difficult to gauge whether having a queen for two rooks is a favourable or unfavourable exchange. I would recommend looking at Calvert – Stimpson for this very reason, for I believe Calvert accepted a draw in a position where 28.Rff2 would have given him some good winning chances. Board 1 was concluded after reaching an opposite coloured bishop middlegame, and Hill – Djabri ended peacefully after the position became locked with pawns. Lower down the order, McCullough produced a very interesting sacrifice against Charlie Cooke; whether it was sound or not is uncertain but he still managed to win in style! But it is the matches played on boards 2 and 4 that are perhaps the most instructive. Petr Vachtfeidl finished an excellent weekend with a well-played victory against Colin Eckloff, illustrating an impressive understanding of rook and pawn endings. Board 2 was just very, very bizarre.

Dickson, George (2103) - Shepley, Julien M (2076) [B07]

1. e4 d6 2.d4 Nf6 3.Nc3 Nbd7 4.e5?!



A somewhat surprising move, presumably designed to stop black playing e5 himself. Can it be any good? Play continued as follows.

4. dxe5 5.dxe5 Nxe5 6.Bf4 (interesting that Dickson didn't play Qxd8+ immediately!) Ng6 7.Qxd8+ Kxd8 8.0-0-0+ Bd7 9.Bg3 Kc8 10.h4 Nh5 11.Bh2 e6 (Bc6 may be more accurate) 12.Be2 Nf6 13.h5 Ne7 14.Nf3 h6 15.Ne5 Be8 16.Nb5



Black is on the back foot, but after a move such as 16.Ned5 white is struggling to prove he has adequate compensation. However Shepley continued 16.Nf5?? allowing Dickson to win material with 17.Nxc7! It is a testament to Julien Shepley's ability that he managed to come close to equalizing several times despite being an exchange down - sadly for Guildford, Dickson's technique was enough to convert the point.

RD 6		GUILDFORD-A&DC 4	2055	v	METROPOLITAN	2030
1	w	Punnett, Alan K	2102	½ - ½	Djabri, Zafer M	2101
2	b	Shepley, Julien M	2076	0 - 1	Dickson, George	2103
3	w	Stimpson, Philip M	2077	½ - ½	Calvert, D Ian	2051
4	b	Eckloff, Colin	2116	0 - 1	Vachtfeidl, Petr	2005
5	w	Hill, David F	2064	½ - ½	Djabri, Katherine (F)	2037
6	b	McCullough, Simon L *	1896	1 - 0	Cooke, Charlie	1880
				2½-3½		

White Rose 2 vs Littlethorpe 1 (3.5-2.5)

Of all the new teams that entered 4ncl this year, it is undoubtedly White Rose 2 that has made the greatest impression! So far they have won five times and lost only once, making them serious candidates for promotion at the end of the season. However Littlethorpe were the rating favourites, and this proved to be quite a close fought match. Boards 2 and 4 were fairly sedate draws, with neither side getting all that much from the opening. The top board proved to be fairly interesting, with FM Smith gaining a small advantage from the opening that he was ultimately unable to convert. Elsewhere Alan Byron scored an excellent win resulting from a surprisingly active Caro-Kann Defence, and White Rose's Matthew Webb won after his young opponent misplayed the black side of a Sicilian Wing Gambit. Board 6 on the other hand proves a horrible sight to anyone who has ever played competitive chess, with Littlethorpe's Chris Gibson blundering his queen in a completely equal position. One cannot help but feel that this was a lucky weekend for the Yorkshire side!

RD 6		WHITE ROSE 2	2084	v	LITTLETHORPE 1	2101
1	w	Shaw, Peter	2123	½ - ½	Smith, Andrew P	2189
2	b	Archer, Richard	2120	½ - ½	Salisbury, Michael W	2119
3	w	Faulkes, Nick	2084	0 - 1	Byron, Alan M	2162
4	b	Lewyk, Ihor	2146	½ - ½	Compton, Alistair	2079
5	w	Webb, Matthew	2035	1 - 0	Jackson, James P	2093
6	b	Whitaker, Thomas R	1995	1 - 0	Gibson, Christopher A	1964
				3½-2½		


Warwickshire Select 2 vs Poisoned Pawns 2 (2.5-3.5)

This fixture proved to be extremely entertaining, with only one drawn game (which in itself is quite instructive) and the rest throwing up some unusual positions. The openings in McMahon vs Webster and Malik vs Stewart were both slightly off beat, the position at move 11 of the former is certainly one many of us won't have seen before! Elsewhere Dani Malik held David Jarvis a pawn down in a rook ending, and Paul Kemp scored a pretty win over Alan Lloyd. The game that most caught my eye however was Page vs Richter, which produced a rather intriguing scenario in the middlegame.



Black is undoubtedly better here – but how best to proceed? Fritz (about as materialistic a source as you will ever find) suggests 31.Nb7 on the basis that it picks up the pawn on d6! But can this really be such a bad idea? Black wins a pawn and one suspects the b5/e4 outposts could be useful in the future... However to many players this move will seem intrinsically 'wrong', black has the potential for a nice attack and does not want to flit about trying to pick up material! That must surely explain Richter's next move, the aesthetically pleasing 31.Rb3!

Can this be good? Page responded with the natural 32.Qd4, whereupon the sequence is pretty much forced. Play continued as follows: 32.Rhb8 33.Qxa7+ (Qc5 is possible, but it is well met by R3b5 and black's attack is very strong) Qxa7 34.Bxa7 Kxa7 35.cxb3 Nxb3+ 36.Ka2 Nd2 37.Rbd1 Nxf1 38.Rxf1



The dust has settled, and although there is material parity, the d6 pawn is dropping. Furthermore the white rook has no entry points, and black has the more active king. Subsequently this proved to be an easy enough technical win for Hans Richter, who handled the moves preceding the time control excellently.

RD 6		WARWICKSHIRE SELECT 2	2095	v	POISONED PAWNS 2	2083
1	w	Page, Mark	2140	0 - 1	Richter, Hans	2149
2	b	Malik, Dani	2125	½ - ½	Jarvis, David *	2160
3	w	Lloyd, Alan *	2110	0 - 1	Kemp, Paul R	2102
4	b	Webster, Paul	2095	0 - 1	McMahon, Paul	2045
5	w	Malik, Kaiser	2075	1 - 0	Stewart, Ashley	1960
6	b	Fallowfield, Jeremy	2022	1 - 0	default	
				2½-3½		

Braille Chess Association vs Hackney (3.0-3.0)

This may be a disappointing result for Braille Chess Association, failing to defeat a team who they outrated by an average of over 150 points per board. Consolation comes in the form of the nice wins on boards 1 and 3. Crouch managed to garner an opening advantage from his London System, and managed the complications well when his opponent sacked the exchange. On 3 it was Chris Ross' 'thorn pawn' on f6 that ultimately sealed Dashiell Shaw's fate, providing white with excellent attacking chances that the 2200 took full advantage of.

Elsewhere, FM Mordue was a little lucky to escape with a draw against Martin Lee, with 22.g4 worth looking at as an alternative to white's text. Oakley vs Cole was a quick draw, unfortunately for Braille this wasn't enough to obtain the full point. Pepe Vives played the ending very well to secure a victory, whilst Hilton's blunder allowed the underrated Dylan Perryman to walk away with the full point. Hackney must be very pleased with the overall result.

RD 6		BRAILLE CHESS ASSOCIATION	2112	v	HACKNEY	1954
1	w	Crouch, Colin	2365	1 - 0	Chin, Francis	2236
2	b	Mordue, A Tyson	2290	½ - ½	Lee, Martin	2050
3	w	Ross, Chris	2197	1 - 0	Shaw, Dashiell L	1940
4	b	Cole, Tristram C	1970	½ - ½	Oakley, Robin *	1905
5	w	Burnell, Steve	1950	0 - 1	Vives, Pepe	1840
6	b	Hilton, Steve	1897	0 - 1	Perryman, Dylan *	1755
				3 - 3		

Gloucestershire Gambits vs Nottinghamshire 1 (3.5-2.5)

One of the additional prizes in the 4ncl this year is British Championship qualification, for men who perform at a 2350 level and women who perform above 2120. Nigel Hosken must surely hope that this extends to division 3, for after 5 matches he has won 4 games and drawn only one, against an average rating of 2119 (100 above Nigel's rating at the start of the season). One suspects if he continues at this rate, a 2350 performance could be within his grasp! This particular game was another Czech Benoni (Richmond's second of the weekend, the previous one with the white pieces) – and whilst I'm not entirely convinced of the soundness of Robert Richmond's rook sacrifice it is still an interesting game and worth a look. Of the other boards, Gilmour – Walker was a fairly uninspiring draw, whilst Dodwell and Lambourne did well to secure the Gloucestershire Gambits

draws following inferior positions. The way the advantage swung after Kingston's 24th move is quite intriguing, as was the bizarre middlegame that emerged in Taylor – Place! I think many of us would have played that on in white's position, but ultimately the two passers weren't enough to compensate being two pieces down.

RD 6		GLOUCESTERSHIRE GAMBITS	2035	v	NOTTINGHAMSHIRE 1	2040
1	w	Hosken, Nigel	2057	1 - 0	Richmond, Robert J	2223
2	b	Lambourne, Daniel M	2003	½ - ½	Levens, David	2009
3	w	Gilmour, Andrew J	2070	½ - ½	Walker, Andrew N	2070
4	b	Meade, Philip J	2055	1 - 0	Kingston, Ian	2023
5	w	Taylor, Geoffrey P	2019	0 - 1	Place, William	1965
6	b	Dodwell, Phil	2005	½ - ½	Combie, Alexander	1950
				3½-2½		

Oxford 2 vs Sussex Smart Controls (2.5-3.5)

This particular fixture was always likely to be close, but it was the topsy-turvy nature of the individual games that illustrates this point the keenest! On the top board Dave Bruce chose not to grab a pawn in the middlegame against David Graham, perhaps if he had his advantage would have been more concrete - instead the game petered out to a draw. Kudos to Ed Stembridge for winning as black following an equal middlegame, same goes for Tim Woods' win in the Pirc against an opponent graded 27 ECF above him. Yates – Netherway was a fairly erratic draw, white missed several good opportunities to gain a clear advantage and when black himself obtained it he chose to offer a draw! George Salimbeni managed to draw an inferior rook ending, whilst Matthew Payne scored an excellent attacking win in the French. If you only look at one of these 6 games, let that be it!

RD 6		OXFORD 2	2032	v	SUSSEX SMART CONTROLS	2007
1	w	Bruce, Dave	2091	½ - ½	Graham, David B	2212
2	b	Stembridge, Ed	2062	1 - 0	Elliston, Robert	2128
3	w	Morris, Graham	2022	½ - ½	Salimbeni, George	2100
4	b	Rawlinson, Chris	2047	0 - 1	Payne, Matthew	1865
5	w	Ludbrook, Matt	2011	0 - 1	Woods, Tim	1900
6	b	Neatherway, Philip	1960	½ - ½	Yates, Christopher	1835
				2½-3½		

The Full Ponty vs Icení (3.5-2.5)

This was one of the upsets of round 6, the Welsh side defeating a team whose average rating was 80 elo points below that of their opponents'. There were some entertaining and instructive games, notable are Lee Davis' show of persistence in converting his win against Mark Szymanski and Paul Botham's miniature on the bottom board. The long draws on boards 2 and 5 are well worth a glance; they are wonderful examples of how some club players will push for the win in positions that many would dismiss as drawn.

However, the greatest honours go to John Feavour and David Sully. Feavour managed to draw a game he could have resigned on move 16, whilst David Sully produced a lovely miniature after an off-beat opening.

Sully, David (2135) - Reynolds, Ian (2108) [C47]

1. e4 e5 2.Nf3 Nc6 3.Nc3 Nf6 4.g3! Not an opening one sees a great deal at this level – it perhaps would make more sense to play this move before committing the knight to c3. 4...d5 (Bc5 seems reasonable too, the text move is Fritz's recommendation, but it has the drawback of activating white's light squared bishop)

5.exd5 Nxd5 6.Bg2 Nb6 7.0-0 Be7 8.Re1 f6 (not a move black really wants to play – it weakens the light squares and actually sets the stage for his downfall!) 9.d4 Nxd4 10.Nxd4 exd4 11.Nb5 c6 (c5 is well met by Bf4, where white is probably quite a bit better) 12.Nxd4 Kf7?



Playing moves like f6 and Kf7 is normally a sign that the opening hasn't gone according to plan. Black isn't a lot worse here, but white has the trump of playing Qh5 and harassing the black king. A few moves later this proved to be decisive.

13.c3 Re8? 14.Qh5+ Kg8 15.Be4! (Fritz evaluates this as +2 for white, the positional concession of 8.f6 is really asserting itself here) g6 16.Bxg6! hxg6 17.Qxg6+ Kh8 18.Bh6 (winning for white, but combinations of Re4 and Qh5+ are objectively stronger) Rg8 19.Qf7 Bf8 20.Qh5




Black is much worse, but can struggle on after 20.Qc7, after which white has nothing better than 21.Bxf8+ Qh7 22.Qxh7+ Kxh7 23.Qxh7 – where black is two pawns down without any initiative. An unpleasant prospect, but better than the text...

Qd5 21.Bg5+ Kg7 22.Qh6+ 1-0

RD 6		THE FULL PONTY	1965	v	ICENI	2043
1	w	Sully, David	2135	1 - 0	Reynolds, D Ian	2108
2	b	Adams, Mark A	2033	½ - ½	Lunn, Timothy	2056
3	w	Robinson, David	1987	½ - ½	Feavyour, John	2073
4	b	Davis, Lee	1954	1 - 0	Szymanski, Mark	1985
5	w	StClair, Allan	1941	½ - ½	Downham, Alan	1995
6	b	Davis, Ashley	1740	0 - 1	Botham, Paul	2042
				3½-2½		

Guildford A&DC5 vs KJCA Kings (0.0-6.0)

This fixture proved to be something of a white-wash, perhaps indicative of the deceptive nature of the ratings of juniors! Despite the average FIDE we (KJCA Kings) felt we were the favourites, outgrading them by an average of 20 ECF points (100 elo) per board. Of the individual games, some were closer than others. In particular the top and bottom boards proved to be pretty interesting. William Jones (something of a KID expert – he never seems to lose with it!) won after Daniel Gibbs missed an intermezzo and on the opposite end Rob Maguire converted after a sweet combination.



Black's position is absolutely crushing, but given time white may be allowed to unravel. However Rob was not that generous, winning with the decisive 28.Qc5! threatening mate on g1. White must move the knight in order to defend it, but after 29.Nd2 black played the beautiful 29.Rxh2+! forcing mate next move. A stylish win by the talented junior.

The only overtly 'positional' games of this fixture were on boards 3 and 4. My game was a Stonewall Dutch in which the dark squared bishops were swapped off early. I felt after 18.f4 I had a reasonably large positional advantage and eventually won. On board 3 black obtained a very nice passed b pawn (Lyll seems to cherish his queenside passers more than anything else chess-wise) and although white had very strong drawing chances towards the end eventually it won the day for the Kent junior. But it was Jones vs Shaw that proved the most interesting, white had a virtually resignable position for several moves but won with a lucky shot!



Black is the exchange and two pawns up in a position where white's initiative is pretty superfluous - infact after 31.Rxc2 white may as well throw in the towel! Shaw played the passive 31.Qd8 and Victor seized his last hope. The game continued 32.Qh6, whereupon black must play 32.Bf6 in order to stay alive. Still, white's advantage would dissipate after this move and one suspects that his resignation would follow soon after. Instead Shaw played 32.Qf8?? losing immediately to 33.Re8!

White's various threats are now impossible to defend and Shaw had to resign, an unfortunate result for the Guildford player.

RD 6		GUILDFORD-A&DC 5	1913	v	KJCA KINGS	1908
1	w	Gibbs, Daniel	1925	0 - 1	Jones, William	2030
2	b	Shaw, Matthew	1925	0 - 1	Jones, Victor	1993
3	w	Deswarte, Ian	1950	0 - 1	Bayliss, Lyall C P	1971
4	b	Waldock, Adrian	1925	0 - 1	Lunn, Matt	1882
5	w	Albrecht, Theo	1840	0 - 1	Maguire, Robert	1702
6	b	default		0 - 1	Gahir, Jasdeep	1872
				0 - 6		

Celtic Tigers 2 vs FCA Solutions 2 (1.0-5.0)

This fixture was weighted from the off and most results went pretty much as expected – however it does not illustrate the fight that the (ostensibly) Irish side put up in trying to defeat their difficult opposition. Board 1 was a dubious King's Gambit in which (at various points) black retreated both his bishop and queen to their original squares – however his rook sacrifice at move 32 should be highly commended for its positional value. Brian Valentine won a pawn early on and duly converted, whilst boards 4 and 5 reached level endings that the more experienced player was able to convert. But the greatest commiserations must go to Adrian Elwin, who went from better to equal to lost in a matter of moves.

RD 6		CELTIC TIGERS 2	1829	v	FCA SOLUTIONS 2	1999
1	w	Thomas, Mark *	2015	0 - 1	Weighell, Mark	2103
2	b	Kitchen, Peter J	1895	0 - 1	Valentine, Brian J	1997
3	w	Larter, Nick	1807	1 - 0	Elwin, Adrian G	2009
4	b	Buttall, David	1714	0 - 1	Kendall, Paul S N	2063
5	w	Kitchen, Michael J	1715	0 - 1	Matthews, Adrian	1924
6	b	default		0 - 1	Shaw, John S	1895
				1 - 5		

Halesowen vs Mind Sports (2.0-4.0)

This may have been a fixture monopolized by draws, but with the exception of board 3 they were played out to the end. The aforementioned match is worth a bit of analysis – the continuation 13.a6 wins a bishop for two pawns but allows white access into an irreparable kingside. Robert Taylor blundered in a winning position against Darren Lee, whilst neither side managed to prove an advantage in Chadwick vs Pitt. The longest game of the round came on board 4, which resulted in a rook and pawn endgame that black managed to draw comfortably. Credit must go to the two winners of the round; Gabriel Barr and Michael Hardman. The former played well in a misplayed variation of the Dutch Defence, whilst the Mind Sports top board launched his rooks into the white position with catastrophic effect. A well deserved win for Mind Sports.

RD 6		HALESOWEN	1890	v	MIND SPORTS	1943
1	w	Pugh, Glyn D	2045	0 - 1	Hardman, Michael J	2087
2	b	Lee, Darren	1950	½ - ½	Taylor, Robert K	2106
3	w	Doran, Michael J	1855	½ - ½	Hawkins, Nick	2031
4	b	Asbury, Jon A	1865	½ - ½	Twitchell, Neville H	1976
5	w	Peck, Windsor W	1845	0 - 1	Barr, Gabriel	1820
6	b	Pitt, Christopher	1780	½ - ½	Chadwick, Susan E (F)	1640
				2 - 4		

AMCA Rhinos vs Nottinghamshire 2 (3.0-3.0)

This fixture was notable for the bizarre opening choices on boards 3 and 5; Cranmer managed to recover from his appallingly passive position and draw, whilst Richard Myers actually won his game! If you look at the game, consider 4.Nf3 as an alternative to e4, preventing e5 ideas does well to stiffly black's counterplay. Robert Taylor managed a solid draw on the top board, whilst George Tunstall navigated the difficulties of his opponent's Blackmar – Diemar well and managed to prove a decisive advantage. James Stayt was a little unlucky to lose his game, playing a move just before the time control that allowed white to enter his position with destructive effect! - All credit to Brian Thompson for playing accurately after his opponent blundered. This left just the bottom board, once again decided by a blunder! White was four pawns up in a queen and bishop ending but allowed himself to get mated, squashed by the magnitude of his own pieces. A catastrophic result for Robert Willoughby.

RD 6		AMCA RHINOS	1954	v	NOTTINGHAMSHIRE 2	1893
1	w	Mitchell, Stephen R	2032	½ - ½	Taylor, Robert P	1956
2	b	Stayt, James N	2026	0 - 1	Thompson, Brian	1938
3	w	Tart, Peter K	2005	½ - ½	Cranmer, Stan	1890
4	b	Tunstall, George	2014	1 - 0	Graham, Neil	1925
5	w	Marley, Andrew	1805	0 - 1	Myers, Richard	1840
6	b	Bunn, Matthew	1840	1 - 0	Willoughby, Robert	1810
				3 - 3		

R D 6		OXFORD 3	1849	v	AMCA HIPPOS	166 8
1	w	Jeffries, Majid	1997	1 - 0	Periasamymanjula, Mani.	171 8
2	b	Foster, James M	1945	1 - 0	Ward, Matthew J	176 0
3	w	Terry, Sean	1870	½ - ½	Malhotra, Tarun	173 0
4	b	Lai, Yi Ming	1835	½ - ½	Meechan, Catriona (F)	178 0
5	w	Foster, Chantelle (F)	1600	0 - 1	O'Neill, Tom C	153 2
6	b	default		0 - 1	Coates, Christine (F)	149 0
				3 - 3		

Littlethorpe 3 vs Wales Old Boys (6.0-0.0)

The snow was not on the side of the Wales Old Boys this weekend, managing to only field 3 boards during this fixture. Even so of the ones that were played Littlethorpe always seemed to have the initiative. Rees missed some equalizing chances on board 3 before blundering a piece, and Harley-Yeo succumbed after misplaying the opening. Parry – Farrall is definitely worth a look though.



Black has just played 9.Bxf4, and after 10.exf4 Re8+ he was able to seize the initiative. But what happens after 10.Qf3? White is clearly fine if he is allowed to take on f4 with his queen, so black must continue 10.Bxe3! Fritz suggests the following – 11.Qxa8 Bd4! 12.Nc3 Bg4 – where white is an exchange for a pawn up but black has a much more mobilized position. I would rather play black here, but it is certainly unclear!

RD 6		LITTLETHORPE 3	1838	v	WALES OLD BOYS	1770
1	w	Turvey, Steven	1915	1 - 0	Harley-Yeo, Gareth	2044
2	b	Farrall, David J	1812	1 - 0	Parry, Matthew	1870
3	w	Rajeswaran, Kiruthika (F)	1850	1 - 0	Rees, Sioned (F) *	1395
4	b	Bingham, James T	1945	1 - 0	default	
5	w	van der Westhuizen, Charles	1824	1 - 0	default	
6	b	Harrison, Peter K	1680	1 - 0	default	
				6 - 0		

You can find all of the games from this round here:

PGN http://www.4ncl.co.uk/0809_div3-06.pgn

Game viewer http://www.4ncl.co.uk/0809_div3-06viewer.htm

And view results and information about the 4ncl here: <http://www.4ncl.co.uk/>