Guildford's 25 Years in the 4NCL: Part I

Nigel Povah and Roger Emerson chronicle the rise of their 4NCL powerhouse team

In this series of articles we will cover the history of Guildford teams in Division One of the 4NCL over the past 25 years, from small beginnings to the powerful squad of the late teens of the 21st century. In many ways this growth has mirrored the expansion of the 4NCL itself into a large, successful and well-managed organisation. While we are now pulling back from our sponsorship, we are confident that the 4NCL itself will continue strongly into the future.

Guildford first entered the 4NCL in 1996 with a team headed up by IMs Andrew Martin, Andrew Kinsman, Nigel Povah and Mark Ferguson, but sponsorship from Nigel didn't commence until the 1999/2000 season when GMs Stuart Conquest, James Plaskett, Daniel King, Jonathan Rowson and Danny Gormally joined the ranks. This enabled Guildford to establish itself as a mid-table team in the highly competitive 4NCL first division.

Slough won the league that year, but Wood Green ran them close, as they had managed to recruit Alexander Morozevich and Nigel Short amongst others. We were due to play Wood Green in round 10 and a discussion arose as to who would play top board with White against Nigel, who was making his first ever appearance in the 4NCL. Jim Plaskett was very keen to take him on, as they had played a number of online blitz games in a particular variation of the Tarrasch French, and Jim felt he had good chances in this line.

J.Plaskett-N.ShortGuildford vs Wood Green, 4NCL 2000



Plaskett now played 18 \(\hat{L}c2!\) with the

idea of ②b3, so Nigel avoids the hit with **18...**曾**a5** which also vacates d5 for his knight. **19 **h4?!**

This was played after a long think as Jim was clearly trying to formulate a plan. Much better was 19 鱼b3! with threats of ②xf7 followed by 營xe6: for example, 19...宣c7 20 營h6 營a3 21 冨ad1 ②e4 22 鱼c1 營a5 23 c4, with a very active position and swinging a rook to h3 as one of many possibilities, or 19...鱼d5 20 營h6 ②e4 21 冨xe4! 鱼xe4 22 冨e1 鱼d5 23 冨e3 and again 冨h3 is coming. 19...冨xc3?

For some peculiar reason Nigel chooses this very dubious self-pin. 19...豐a3 was probably best: for instance, 20 鱼h6 豐xc3 21 鱼xf8 罩xf8 22 鱼b3 曾g7 and Black has adequate compensation for the material deficit. If, instead, 19...包d5 20 豐g4 包f6 (and not 20...包xc3? 21 罩a3!) 21 豐g3 豐a3 22 罩ac1 when White is slightly for choice, but Nigel also thought 19...罩c7 was reasonable, with an unclear position.



Jim Plaskett stunned Nigel Short on top board as Guildford became a force in 1999/2000.

20 **逾b3! ②d5 21 豐h6**

21 營e4! was best, targeting e6: for example, 21...b5 22 总xc3 營xc3 23 公xf7 罩xf7 24 營xe6 总h4 25 含h1! 營c6 26 營xc6 总xc6 27 罩ac1 总b7 28 罩e5 罩d7 29 罩c5 and wins. 21...总b4?

22 <u>\$xc3</u> <u>\$xc3</u> 23 <u>\$\tilde{0}</u>d7 was the more accurate move order: 23...<u>\$\tilde{2}\$d8</u> 24 <u>\$\tilde{2}\$xd5</u> <u>\$\tilde{2}\$xd4</u> 25 <u>\$\tilde{2}\$xd7</u> <u>\$\tilde{2}\$xd7</u> <u>\$\tilde{2}\$f3</u>, winning.

23 &xd5 \(\bar{z}\) xd7



25 &xe6+ \$h8 26 &xc3

The important follow-up to White's 24th. **26... 248**

27 營f4 1-0

 30 響f7+ 曾g5 31 h4+ 曾h6 (31...曾xh4 32 響f6+ picks up the rook) 32 g4 and Black cannot escape the mating net.

From 2000/01 onwards, sponsorship was increased with Nigel Povah's company, Assessment & Development Consultants, providing the funding. The team was rebranded Guildford-A&DC but still struggled to compete with the might of Beeson Gregory and Slough, as well as the rising star Wood Green. Stuart Conquest had an excellent season, often playing on board one and scoring an undefeated 9/11. He produced this very nice finish against Douglas Bryson.

S.Conquest-D.BrysonGuildford-A&DC vs Poisoned Pawns,

4NCL 2001



Bryson has just played 44...會h7 and Stuart now finds a nice sequence to force his a-pawn home:

In 2001/02 GM Tony Kosten joined the Guildford-A&DC ranks and immediately made a positive contribution, scoring 7/10 operating on one of the top three boards, including this win against Paul Littlewood.

P.Littlewood-A.KostenGuildford-A&DC vs Wood Green II, 4NCL 2001



Black is a pawn behind, but he has managed to damage White's kingside so the position is roughly equal. However, Tony



Stuart Conquest was a key member of the Guildford team once Nigel Povah had become captain, and often led well from the front.

hopes he might be able to create some play. One thing that is true about Tony: he is a fighter and not known to give up easily.

This weakens the white king position. 30 Ξ a1, preparing to mobilise the a-pawn, was a better try.

30... **a**f5 31 **a**1d3 **a**c1+ 32 **a**h2 **a**h5 Black eyes up the weak f4-square.

33 **E**e3 **E**f1 34 **e**g2 **E**a1 35 **e**c2 **O**f4+36 **e**h2 **E**f6 37 a4 h6 38 **E**d1 **E**a2 39 **E**c3 **E**e6? 39...**O**e2! was best: 40 **E**c7 **E**xf3 41 **e**g2 **E**aa3 with an edge for Black. 40 **E**d2

40 鱼b3! 罩xf2+ 41 含g3 ②e2+ 42 含xf2 ②xc3 43 罩d3 罩c6 is very promising for White, as the exchange of a pair of rooks reduces the danger to the white king and he can now try to exploit his passed a-pawn.

40...g5 41 hxg5 hxg5 42 罩c5 罩h6+ 43 堂g1 心h3+ 44 堂f1 罩h5



A rather passive looking move, but it anticipates White's reply.

45 \(\bar{2}\) dd5 \(\bar{2}\) xc2!

46 ≣xc2 🖾f4



47 \(\bar{z}\)xq5+ \(\bar{z}\)xq5

Black now has a won position and it is just a matter of technique, although White does at least have his a-pawn.

52...മൂർ! was better.

53 gd5 Za1 54 gc6 Of5

Or 54... 2g6 55 \$b6 2e7 56 a5 2d5+ 57 \$b5 \$e6 and wins.

55 \$b6 公d6 56 월b4 \$e6 57 a5 \$d5 58 a6 公c4+



59 **∲**b7?

59 當a7! was more tricky, although Black is fine if he plays 59... ②d6 60 單b3 罩c1! 61 曾b6 罩c6+! 62 曾a7 when Black's rook and knight are beautifully co-ordinated to hold up the advance of the a-pawn and allowing him to activate his king: 62... 堂e4 63 罩e3+ (not 63 罩b6?? ②c8+ and 63 罩b4+ 曾f5 64 罩b3 曾xf4 65 罩e3 f5 66 罩b3 曾e5 is similar to what now follows) 63... 曾xf4 64 罩e2 曾f3 65 罩a2 f5 66 曾b8 f4 67 罩a4 (clearly not 67 a7?? 罩c8#) 67... 曾g4 68 曾a7 曾f5 69 f3 曾e5 70 罩a1 曾d4 71 罩a2 曾e3 72 罩a3+曾e2 when Black aims to give up his knight for the a-pawn with a winning rook and pawn ending.

The rook has no safe squares.

Winning by the single tempo.

It wasn't until 2002/03 when the addition of Women's World Champion Antoaneta Stefanova, fellow GMs Mark Hebden, Glenn Flear, Joe Gallagher and Eric Prié, as well as IM Alexander Cherniaev, ensured that Guildford-A&DC could finally muster a strong challenge to the top seeds, Wood Green, but it still

wasn't sufficient to prevent Wood Green securing their first title. Indeed the two sides went on to have a number of hard-fought, last-round clashes over the next four years.

In 2003/04 it was Guildford-A&DC's turn to win the league for the first time with a final round 41/2-31/2 victory over Wood Green, thanks to impressive wins from Emil Sutovsky and Danny King, who scored a stunning 9½/10 throughout the season. Alexander Cherniaev also had a good season for Guildford, scoring an impressive 8/10, which included a solid draw against Luke McShane in the final crunch match with Wood Green and enabled him to secure his final GM norm.

E.Sutovsky-A.Morozevich

Guildford-A&DC v Wood Green, 4NCL 2004 Sicilian Rossolimo

1 e4 c5 2 4 f3 4 c6 3 4 b5 4 f6 4 e5

4 ②c3 is the most popular move here.

4... 4 d5 5 0-0 a6?!

5... ②c7 is the more natural continuation.

6 &xc6 dxc6 7 2g5!?

Sutovsky plays in his typical enterprising style, moving his only developed piece a second time to target the weak f7-square.

7...≝c7

Both players praised this move after the game. One wonders what Sutovsky intended after 7...h6 since 8 營h5?! (8 夕e4 is best with equality) 8...g6 9 Wh4 e6 sees the knight having to retreat when Black is better. 8 c4



Sutovsky continues with his direct play. 8...�b4?!

Morozevich decides on a forcing variation. 8... 4 f4! was much safer: 9 d4!? 4 e6 10 d5 (10 ②xe6?! ≜xe6 11 d5 cxd5 12 cxd5 0-0-0 13 &f4 &xd5 is much better for Black) ≜e3 offers dynamic play for the material.

9 a3 4 d3 10 4 xf7

Sutovsky had spent a lot of time examining the various lines and only had about 30 minutes left at this stage to reach move 40.

10...**ℤ**g8?!

Morozevich is hoping to weather the storm, but he seems to misjudge the coming danger. Both players saw 10... \$\delta xf7 11 \delta f3+ 堂q8 12 豐xd3 豐xe5 was possible, with a position that slightly appears to favour White

due to Black's disrupted position despite his bishop-pair.

11 營f3 營d7

White is already winning after 11... 2e6 12 ②q5 &xc4 13 營e4 ②xe5 14 罩e1 h6 15 ②h3 and 11...②xe5?? 12 豐f4.

12 Ød6+!

12 e6 was the main alternative: 12... wxe6 13 營xd3 營xf7 14 營b3 with an edge. However, not 14 豐xh7? 息f5 15 豐h4 g5 16 ∰q3 0-0-0 and Black is clearly on top.

12...exd6 13 \(\begin{align*} \text{xd3 dxe5} \end{align*}

After the game both players thought 13... 響f5 was best, but the engines still prefer White after 14 ∰b3 b5 15 d3 <u>\$</u>e6 16 exd6 âxd6 17 ac3.

14 ₩xh7 ₩f7 15 Ze1 &e6

15... e7 16 罩xe5 罩f8 17 彎h5 is only a little better for White.



Repatriating the queen and keeping the black king in the centre. Not 16 \(\bar{2}\)xe5? inviting trouble after 16.... 全d6 17 罩e3 0-0-0.

This leaves the king stuck in the centre. 16... 🕯 xc4 17 冨xe5+ 🐧 e7 18 🝟 e3 🕏 d7 19 d3 🚊d5 20 🖄c3 🚊d6 21 🖺g5 🖺ae8 22 ②e4, when White is clearly better, was probably a better defence than in the game.

17 ∰q3 <u>â</u>d6?

The engines prefer 17... \$\text{\mathbb{@}}f5\$, tempting with tempo: 19 營e3 會f7 20 罩e4 息f5 and Black is fighting back.

18 ②c3 e4 Black cannot allow **②**e4. 19 ₩e3 &xh2+

Desperation as if 19... 国h8 20 h3.

20 堂xh2 罩h8+ 21 堂q1 豐h5



Despite being short of time, Sutovsky

calmly defends.

22 f4! 營h2+ 23 含f2 罩h4

25 \$\dispersection{\text{e}}\text{e2} \dispersection{\text{e}}\text{f7 26 f5!} \$\overline{\text{E}}\text{e8 27 fxe6+} \$\overline{\text{Z}}\text{xe6 28}\$ ₩xe6+ \$xe6 29 \$\alpha\$e4!, winning the rook and leaving White with a massive material advantage. 24 d3

The engines prefer 24 d4!, but the move played also works in that both see f4 defended

24...罩xd3 25 營xe4 營g3+ 26 🕸g1 罩d6

After 26... ₩h2+ 27 \$f1 there is no perpetual or 26... dd8 27 &e3 with an easy win (27 營xd3+ 營xd3 28 罩d1 also wins due to the extra piece).

27 <u>\$e3</u> **\text{\tint{\text{\te}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi{\text{\texi{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\texit{\text{\tex{\text{\text{\texi{\text{\texi}\text{\texit{\texi{\text{\texi{\ti** ₩g3+ 30 �f1 �f8

A desperate time trouble shot...

31 \(\frac{1}{2}xd6 \(\frac{1}{2}xc4+ \) ... which is easily met by: 32 ②e2 1-0

A.Baburin-D.King

Wood Green vs Guildford-A&DC, 4NCL 2004



Having steadily outplayed Alexander Baburin, Danny King finishes the game with a nice tactic. 24... 響xd4! 25 罩e2

(if 27 曾f3 皇g4+ 28 曾f4 罩xf2+ 29 皇f3 罩xf3+30 含q5 罩f5+31 含h4 q5# or 27 含h2 罩xf2#) 27...罩xe3 is hopeless.

25...ッf6 26 罩xb2 公xb2

With a safe extra pawn.

27 &c2 &g4 28 4 d3 4 xd3 29 &xd3 &f3 30 &f1 c5 31 &g2 d4 32 exd4 cxd4 33 罩b1 d3 34 &xf3 營xf3 35 營c4 d2 36 響f1 g6 37 罩d1 響xd1 38 響xd1 罩e1+ 0-1

The 2004/05 season saw Guildford players achieving some exciting finishes. Nigel had a particularly satisfying weekend in November, winning the following miniature on a Saturday.

N.Povah-A.Walton

Guildford-A&DC vs Numerica 3Cs, 4NCL 2004 Dutch Defence

1 d4 e6 2 4 f3 f5 3 e4!?

This gambit, which I christened 'The Deferred Staunton Gambit', was a line I had found after looking for a way of meeting the



Can you name all the Guildford stars from the 2004/05 season? Standing (from left to right) we have Plaskett, Rowson, Conquest, Efimenko, Svidler, Shaw, Gallagher, Kosten, Howell and Flear, and seated (I-r): Yurenok, King, Hebden, Charbonneau, Lautier, Krush, Sutovsky and Povah.

Dutch after 1...e6 and 2...f5, and at the time there were fewer than 30 games on the *Mega Database*. I ended up playing the line 10 times between 2002 and 2016, scoring 70% and wrote an article on it for Volume 5 of *Secrets of Opening Surprises* in 2006. Even today the online database only gives 64 games, with White scoring reasonably well.

3...fxe4 4 🗓 g5 🗓 f6

4...d5 is the much less popular alternative.

Playing in true gambit style.

5...exf3

5...c5 is Black's most popular reply: 6 fxe4 cxd4 7 2d3 2c6 8 0-0 2d6 when White can continue with either 9 2a3 or 9 2d2 with reasonable prospects.

6 **₩xf3**



White intends 2d3, 0-0 and 4h3 with dangerous kingside threats.

6...c5?!

This is too slow. 6... ②c6 is best: 7 c3 ②e7 8 ②d3 0-0 9 營h3 h6 10 ②g6 e5! (10...hxg5 11 ②xg5 is much better for White) 11 0-0 exd4 12 cxd4 ②xd4?! (12...d5! is excellent for Black) 13 ②c3 d5 14 營d3 hxg5 15 營xd4 g4 16 ②g5 c6 17 營f2 ②d7?? (a terrible blunder; 17...營b6 18 ②e3 營b4 19 營h4 ②e6 retains the upper hand) 18 ②f7+ (18 營h4! is even stronger) 18...至xf7

19 響xf7+ 會h7 20 魚xe7 響b6+ 21 會h1 1-0, Netusil-Vavruska, Czech League 1992.

7 **≜d3** ₩e7?

This doesn't help at all, although White should be winning after 7...公c6 8 总xh7 公xd4 9 总g6+ 全e7 10 營f2.

8 0-0 **②**c6



9 **②xh7! ②xd4** Alternatively, 9... **三**xh7 10 **②**xh7 **②**xd4 (or 10... **②**xh7 11 **警**h5+ g6 12 **警**xg6+ **\$\\$\$**d8 13 **\$\\$\$\\$\$f7**) 11 ***\\$\$\\$\$**d3 or 9... **②**xh7 10 ***\\$\$h5+**, winning in both cases.

10 âg6+ \$d8

Alan had used over an hour by this point, compared to my 13 minutes.

11 營d3 含c7 12 分f7 罩h4??

13 ∰g3+ d6 14 ∰xh4 1-0

Then on the following day Nigel managed to execute the following strong finish:

N.Povah-J.Sisask

Guildford-A&DC vs Bristol, 4NCL 2004



White has just captured on b6, so Black decides to challenge the invader.

31...**ℤfb8?**

However, with all of Black's pieces on the queenside, he is vulnerable to a kingside attack. 31...f6 was better, although White still enjoys a significant advantage.

32 \(\bar{z}\) xh6!!

I'd seen that this and my next move would create a very powerful attack.

32...gxh6

33 **≝**f6!



A quiet move which takes control of the sixth rank and allows my queen into the game. I can't claim to have seen all of the variations in depth, but I was very confident that my three remaining pieces would combine effectively against Black's lone king, particularly as all of his forces were out of play on the queenside.

33...**∲**f8

34 ₩h5 ₩d7 35 �f5

Not the most accurate, but good enough, with the engines now giving mate in 17. They prefer 35 黨xh6! with mate in nine: 35...黨b6 36 黨h8+ 會g7 37 黨h7+ 會f8 38 包f5 when Black has to give up material to delay mate.

35...∳e8 36 ∰xh6 ∳d8 37 罩d6 ὧb7

38 **≝**f8+ 1-0

The big clash between Guildford-A&DC and Wood Green in the final round ended 4-4, enabling Wood Green to win the title on game points. However, the standout game of the match saw Emil Sutovsky at his spectacular best, with this sparkling finish, perhaps one of the best ever seen in the 4NCL, which arguably deserved to see Guildford win the crown, but it wasn't to be.

E.Sutovsky-I.Sokolov

Guildford-A&DC vs Wood Green, 4NCL 2005





Wood Green scraped home with a 4-4 draw in the final round of the 2004/05 season, despite Guildford's Emil Sutovsky producing a brilliancy to defeat Ivan Sokolov in that pivotal match.

22...**⊈**f8

Played to avoid the loss of the queen to ∅f6+, but Sutovsky is not deterred.

23 @ef6!! gxf6

23... **曾**d8 24 **②**xh7+ **罩**xh7 25 **拿**xd8 wins.

24 **②xf6 ≝c4**

25 \(\begin{aligned} \text{26 } \\ \delta \text{cd4! } 26 \\ \delta \text{h6+} \end{aligned} \)

26 cxd4 h6 27 罩c1 豐xa2 28 食e3 is also winning for White.

26...會e7 27 cxd4 公xd4 28 罩c1 豐xa2 29 罩xd4 罩hd8!



Sokolov is relying on his powerful passed d-pawn, rather than 29... ad8 30 a1 要e2 31 公d5+ axd5 32 要xe2 dxe2 33 axd5 when White wins.

30 **ℤf**4

Sutovsky rejected 30 響xd3 because he couldn't find a suitable follow-up after 30... 基xd4 31 基xc7+! 含d8! 32 基d7+ 含c8 33 基xd4? 響a1+!, but instead 33 響f3! 響b1+ 34 含h2 基xh4+ 35 含g3 響g6+ 36 含xh4 響xh6+ 37 含g3 響g5+ 38 含h2 wins, as does 30 息g5 基xd4 31 響f3 基b8 32 公d5+ 含e8 33 e6.

30...d2 31 **罩c3**!

White also wins with 31 包xh7 dxc1豐32 皇g5+ 曾e8 33 豐xc1 曾d7 (33...c5 to prevent 豐c6+ runs into 34 e6! 豐xe6 35 包f6+ 曾e7 36 罩e4) 34 豐d1+ 曾c8 35 豐xd8+.

31...**⊮a**4!

Sutovsky had a long think here. He was running out of time and I remember thinking his position was looking pretty grim, but he played:

32 🖄 g8+ 🕸 e8

Best and clearly not 32... 含e6? 33 罩f6+with mate in three against either 33... 含xe5 or 33... 含d7.

33 �f6+ �e7



Here Nigel thought Emil was going to take the perpetual, but he suddenly played a stunning sacrifice:

34 ∅d5+!! axd5

Note that 34... \$e8 allows 35 \bigwedge xd2.

And now he goes all in with another sacrifice. The engines already announce mate in 8!

35...**⊈**d8

36 \(f8+ \(\exists e7 \) 37 \(\exists g5+ 1-0 \)

It's mate in six after 37... 含xf8 38 瞥f3+ 含g8 (or 38... 含e8 39 瞥h5+ 含d7 40 瞥g4+ 含e8 41 瞥e6+ 含f8 42 息h6 mate) 39 徵xd5+ 含g7 40 息h6+ 含xh6 41 豐e6+ 含h5 42 營f5+ 含xh4 43 g3 mate.

September 2021

After the game Sutovsky explained that he had seen this winning idea back when he played 31 罩c3, but he then doubted himself after 31...豐a4, forgetting that after his ②d5+ a king move would allow the simple and winning 豐xd2. An amazing finale to a highly entertaining game.

Wood Green managed to retain their title in 2005/06 after another 4-4 draw against Guildford-A&DC, enabling them to win the league by a single game point, with both teams having won their other 10 matches.

In 2006/07 Wood Green temporarily lost their sponsorship from Brian Smith, who had supported them so impressively for many years, and this left the field open for Guildford-A&DC to 'sweep the board' with its first team winning the title with 11/11 and its second team coming second with 10/11. It is also worth noting that 11 Guildford-A&DC players occupied the top 15 places for the highest percentage scores in the first division.

This feat of taking the top two places was repeated again in 2007/08 and in 2014-15 under Roger's stewardship. No other team has ever managed to take the top two places in the 4NCL.

The final round clash of the 2007/08 season with Hilsmark Kingfisher proved to be rather one-sided as Guildford-A&DC emerged as 7-1 victors with Eric Prié winning the following interesting game.

E.Prié-R.Britton

Guildford-A&DC v Hilsmark Kingfisher, 4NCL 2008 London System

1 d4 🖄 f6 2 🖄 f3 d5 3 a3

One of Eric's many offbeat ideas which he has played countless times.

3...g6

3...e6 is most common when Eric has played both $4 \, \text{\&g} 5$ and $4 \, \text{\&f} 4$.

4 &f4 &g7 5 e3

Eric is playing a London System set-up, which he is very familiar with, but here he has committed to a3, which may or may not prove helpful.

5... 4 bd7 6 h3 c6

This is rather passive. 6...b6 aiming to fight for the e4-square was an option. So too was 6...c5, as was 6...0-0, deferring the decision about how to play on the queenside.

7 ∅bd2 0-0 8 Ձe2 ∅e4

8...c5 was played against Gata Kamsky, another London System expert: 9 c3 b6 10 a4 a5 11 0-0 &b7 12 響b3 響c8 13 分b1 ②e4 14 ②a3 &c6 15 &b5 e6 16 罩fd1 響b7 17 &xc6 響xc6 18 ②b5 and White won in 44 moves, Kamsky-Chirila, Philadelphia 2014. 9 0-0 響b6

A common idea in these London set-ups, targeting the b-pawn.

10 🖾 xe4 dxe4 11 🖾 d2



Eric offers the pawn and it looks like it can be taken. Black has lost all three games here when he did so, but White is already better due to the weak e4-pawn

11...≝xb2

Or 11...c5 12 2c4 d8 13 c3 cxd4



Ever a friendly rivalry between Guildford and Wood Green supremos Nigel Povah and Brian Smith.

14 cxd4 with a clear structural advantage. 12 **2 b 1 ** wxa3**

12...豐c3 13 ②c4 with 罩b3 to follow is even worse, as White has retained more of big payers.

13 公c4 豐a4 14 冨a1 豐b5

14... 數64? led to even quicker disaster: 15 c3 數xc3 16 區c1 and the queen was trapped in Hoang-Makropoulou, Mardin 2011.

15 罩a5 豐b4 16 c3 豐xc3 17 豐b1!



There's to be no escape from \(\bar{\textsq} \)c1.

17...c5

White also wins after 17...②b6 18 ②xb6 豐xa5 19 ②xa8 e5 20 dxe5 ②xe5 21 豐xe4 ②xf4 22 豐xf4.

18 罩b5 cxd4 19 罩c1 豐xc1+

19...a6 20 罩b3 豐xb3 21 豐xb3 was hopeless too in Mohr-Bratovic, Bled 2002.

20 營xc1 d3 21 息d1 b6 22 營a3 息b7?

The engines suggest the clever 22...②c5! when the b6-pawn is tactically taboo due to the monstrous d-pawn after 23 公xb6? axb6 24 營xa8 总d7 25 營a7 总xb5 26 營xb6 总a4 27 營xc5 总xd1. Similarly if 23 置xb6? axb6 24 營xa8 总a6 25 營d5 e6, but there is no need to take on b6 and White can continue with 23 总e5 总e6 24 总xg7 含xg7 25 公d2 总d5 when 26 f3 is just one illustration of White's superiority: 26...exf3 27 e4 总c6 28 置xc5 bxc5 29 營xc5 置fc8 30 營xe7 and wins.

23 豐xe7 点c6 24 罩b1 罩fe8 25 豐a3 点d5 26 公d6 罩e6 27 f3 f5 28 罩b5 公c5 29 罩xc5! bxc5 30 豐xc5

The bishop is in trouble and Black isn't saved by 30... 基xd6 31 豐xd6 身f7 32 fxe4 (or 32 豐d7, preventing the rook on a8 getting active) 32... fxe4 33 豐c6 星e8 34 身b3.

30...ዿa2 31 ⊮a5 1-0

In 2008/09 the final match was contested with the newly formed Wood Green Hilsmark Kingfisher (WGHK) and it resulted in another 4-4 finale, enabling WGHK to take the title, which Guildford-A&DC would have taken had they won the match.

By 2009/10 and 2010/11 work pressures forced Nigel Povah to reduce his sponsorship, with him having to withdraw altogether in late 2011 due to illness. Fortunately, Nigel's close friend and Guildford and former Streatham & Brixton club-mate, Roger Emerson, stepped in.

Ed. – Nigel and Roger will continue to pay tribute to Guildford's fine record in the 4NCL, picking up with the 2011/12 season in our October issue.